

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uphog.gr

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/662,844	09/15/2000	Yasuyuki Susa	196824US0	2015
22850	7590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
THE EST. II. VET	Chr., 771 22317		1761	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Offic Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Offic		09/662,844	Group Art Unit		
Ome	Addon Gammary	ARTHURL.	Group Art Unit		
		<u> </u>	7,24		
—The MAILI	NG DATE of this communication appears o	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STA OF THIS COMMUN	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO IICATION.	EXPIRE	_ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
from the mailing di - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with - Any reply received	may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. atte of this communication. Joy specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repply is specified above, such period shall, by default, hin the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth by the Office later than three months after the maillings 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ly within the statutory mining expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	communication(s) filed on 8 - 4 - v	3.9-30-	o 3		
		-) \	•		
☐ Since this appl	-INAL. lication is in condition for allowance except fith the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle,</i> 1935		ecution as to the merits is closed in		
Disposition of Clair		0.2, 100 0.0			
Claim(s)	11,12,16-40		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above of	11,12, 16-40 laim(s) 19-25,25-28,31-	34831-4	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
⊠Claim(s)	11,12,16-18,23,24,29	30,35,36	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.			
☐ Claim(s)			are subject to restriction or election		
Application Papers			requirement		
• •	drawing correction, filed on		□ disapproved.		
• • •	filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner			
•	on is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or de	claration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U	•				
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).					
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received 					
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
*Certified copies	•				
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Dis	sclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 🗆 In	terview Summary, PTO-413		
	rence(s) Cited, PTO-892		□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
	sperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther		
Office Action Summary					

Application/Control Number: 09/662,844

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 4, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 19-22, 25-28, 31-34 and 37-40 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5.

It should be noted that claim 31 is a previously withdrawn claim and not a previously presented claim, as applicant's September 30, 2003 amendment indicates.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7, 11, 12, 16-18, 23, 24, 29, 30, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Susa et al or Seeda et al in view of Nowsad et al.

Applicant is referred to paragraph Nos. 4, 5 and 7, Paper No. 6 and to the second paragraph of paragraph No. 5, Paper No. 8.

5. Applicant's arguments filed September 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's limitation of "less than 0.19 mol/liter" is merely

Application/Control Number: 09/662,844

Art Unit: 1761

preferred (spec. page 6, line 22). Referred limitations, without more, are not critical (In re Rattch, 156 USPQ 502).

Applicant's data, a reproduced on pages 6-8 of applicant's remarks, is not convincing. Although 0.02 mol/liter ammonium chloride produces better results than 0.2 mol/liter, as shown by said data, there is no comparison of record between 0.1 mol/liter ammonium chloride and an amount slightly less than 0.1 mol/liter ammonium chloride. Further, the data in Table 3, last two columns (page 8 of remarks) is substantially equivalent for Groups 4-7. Thus, applicant's use of ammonium chloride in Groups 6 and 7 does not appear to produce any better results than obtained for Groups 4 and 5 in terms of "Breaking strength" and "Quality assessment". Further, the pickle at higher viscosities is difficult, as applicant argues, then how are the results obtained in the last two columns of Table 3 for Groups 4 and 5?

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (703) 308-3850. The examiner can generally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 10 a.m. to 7:30 p.m. and on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 305-7115 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/662,844

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. Corbin/dh December 1, 2003

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

12-2-03